Globalization and Fair Trade—Lesson Plan

Student Objectives

- Understand the role of globalization in promoting the international exchange of ideas, goods, and capital and in creating dramatic economic and social challenges in democratic and non-democratic societies.
- Learn the issues raised by government regulation, including special certifications, of agricultural products in the context of globalization and fair trade.
- Explore the balance between creating economic opportunities and promoting mechanisms for individual and societal control over market forces.
- Analyze the reasons supporting and opposing fair trade certification of coffee by government.
- Identify areas of agreement and disagreement with other students.
- Decide, individually and as a group, whether the government should provide “fair trade” certification for coffee and other products; support decisions based on evidence and sound reasoning.
- Reflect on the value of deliberation when deciding issues in a democracy.

Question for Deliberation

In response to market globalization, should our democracy provide “fair trade” certification for coffee and other products?

Materials

- Lesson Procedures
- Handout 1—Deliberation Guide
- Handout 2—Deliberation Worksheet
- Handout 3—Student Reflection on Deliberation
- Reading
- Selected Resources
- Deliberation Question with Arguments
  (optional—use if students have difficulty extracting the arguments or time is limited)
Globalization and Fair Trade—Reading

Globalization has resulted in stunning changes around the world. Producers and consumers now buy and sell in a worldwide marketplace: in just days, blue jeans made in Lahore can be traded in Los Angeles, shipped to Lidice, and sold in Lodz. Money crosses borders almost instantly. News and ideas speed across the Internet. Today more people are linked to more information more quickly than ever before. Everything—from making shoes or growing wheat to preventing terrorism and promoting democracy—is affected, because everything is connected.

The speed and extent of globalization are viewed by some as wonderful and by others as threatening. In many democracies, ordinary citizens have sought ways to exercise greater influence and control over global decisions of governments and corporations, particularly in matters of trade. An example is the fair trade movement that tries to certify a fair exchange between producers in poorer countries and consumers in richer countries for a variety of products. One such product is coffee.

What Is Globalization?

“Few subjects have polarized people throughout the world as much as globalization,” notes Nobel Laureate economist Joseph Stiglitz. “Some see it as the way of the future, bringing unprecedented prosperity to everyone, everywhere.” Others, like the tens of thousands of people who demonstrate whenever the World Trade Organization holds its meetings, “fault globalization as the source of untold problems, from the destruction of native cultures to increasing poverty.”
Economist Jagdish Bhagwati defines globalization primarily in terms of economics. In his view, the efforts by different governments to reduce trade and investment barriers, coupled with new information technologies, have resulted in an extraordinarily fast delivery of services and capital between and within nations. Yet with globalization, the buffers of time and space that once protected local markets are much smaller: “Producers in the poor countries [are exposed] to increased risks as a result of shifting to world markets in search of greater prosperity.”

Religious leader Jonathan Sachs rejects a strictly economic explanation of globalization. “Mankind was not created to serve markets,” he argues. “Markets were created to serve mankind.” Ironically, the wealth of market choices created by globalization has resulted in “a massive loss of sovereignty over our personal lives.” The result, he says, is that “when things go wrong, as at times they must, we are liable to despair, because our destiny rests in other hands, not our own.” A response to this anxiety, he believes, is to define people as more than economic agents and to take back responsibility for personal and social choices, particularly in commerce.

The Globalization of Coffee: Farmers and Producers in a World Economy

Coffee has been a focus of international commerce since Arab merchants first brought it out of Ethiopia and began to trade it 1,000 years ago. Today, the global coffee market is worth more than $80 billion annually, and coffee is second only to oil as the most traded commodity on the planet. Yet according to Transfair, a fair trade group, over half of the world’s coffee is produced by small family farmers. As Bhagwati says:

Farmers who shift from traditional staples to cash crops (like coffee) because of higher returns at current prices face the prospect that this shift will lead them into ruination if rivals elsewhere with lower prices suddenly move into the market. . . . Since few farmers
in the poor countries are likely to take these downside possibilities into account, sudden
misery is a possibility.

The problems of local growers were recently strikingly demonstrated in the documentary
film *Black Gold*. The film follows Tadesse Meskela, the General Manager of the Oromia Coffee
Farmers Co-operative Union in Ethiopia, who spends most of his time traveling the world to find
coffee buyers who will pay his farmers a better price than that set by the international
commodities exchange. He represents over 100 cooperatives made up of 74,000 Ethiopian coffee
farmers and close to one-half million members of their families. Without increasing the price of
coffee, these farmers face bankruptcy. “Our hope is one day the consumer will understand what
they are drinking,” says Meskela. “Consumers can bring a change if awareness is given to
consumers. It is not only on coffee, all products are getting a very low price—and the producers
are highly affected.” Without the ability to deal directly with purchasers, most small coffee
growers must sell their crops to middlemen, often at less than half its market value.

*Free Trade*

For many supporters of globalization, a central belief is that free trade—trade without
governmental taxes or subsidies (price supports)—promotes economic growth for everyone,
because a free market enables the best products and services to be produced at the best price. Yet
the process of creating “free trade” has been uneven and inconsistent. Some developed nations,
for example, are critical of tariffs (taxes) placed on their agricultural products by poorer nations
in Africa and South America. Wealthy countries, however, often subsidize their own agricultural
producers, leaving small farmers in developing nations at a disadvantage.
With coffee, some corporations are responding to the circumstances farmers face. Starbucks Corporation, the giant American coffee producer, follows its own voluntary program for “mutually-beneficial relationships with [the] coffee farmers and coffee communities.”

Another response to the precarious condition of farmers is the “fair trade” movement.

**Fair Trade**

Promoters of fair trade say that it can provide basic economic supports and protections for farmers. According to the Fair Trade Federation, fair trade works “by guaranteeing a minimum wage for small producers’ harvests and by encouraging sustainable cultivation methods. Fair trade farmers also receive badly needed credit and are assured a minimum price. For example, the fair trade price per pound of coffee is $1.26 (USD). In comparison, the world price is around $1.00 (USD) per pound with coffee growers earning less than 50 cents per pound.” If coffee growers received fair wages, they could invest in health, education, and environmental protection.

The Fair Trade Federation and other organizations use a system of independent certification to determine whether goods are produced according to fair trade standards. Created in 1997, the Fairtrade Labeling Organizations International (FLO) is an association of some 20 labeling initiatives, mainly throughout Europe and North America. Certified producers and products can use a special symbol on coffee and other products. This symbol varies from country to country.

Organic foods offer an example of how the certification process works. In the United States and in Europe, governmental agencies certify crops, livestock, and processors as “organic” according to government regulations about what kinds of fertilizers and pest controls are used. Certification has made it easier for consumers and producers to identify and market organic...
agricultural products. While organic food certification is conducted by governments in America
and Europe, to date no nation state has adopted a fair trade certification program.

**Fair Trade Certification: Supporters and Opponents**

Advocates say that providing fair trade certification encourages and rewards ethical behavior
in the marketplace. They note that by sponsoring products that bring a fair wage for workers
overseas, citizens can contribute to global stability and mutual respect. In this way, establishing
certification promotes democratic principles at home and abroad.

Supporters argue that fair trade certification is a perfectly reasonable and responsible exercise
of government power. The government intervenes in foreign and domestic markets through such
means as price subsidies, tariffs, and quotas. Like organic certification, fair trade certification
can serve broader national economic interests, even as it helps domestic consumers. Certification
assures consumers that producers receive a fair price for coffee and other products. Adopting
certification educates consumers and producers while retaining the power of choice. It represents
a good balance between government supports and citizen choices.

In addition, supporters argue that the natural outcome of unregulated markets is monopoly,
not efficiency. For minimal costs, government regulations foster greater citizen protections at
home and better labor practices abroad. This kind of investment promotes democratic principles
through choices, not charity. Government regulations exist to protect the rights of citizens as well
as those of businesses and corporations.

Supporters also say that fair trade certification is smart politics. By guaranteeing a minimum
wage for small producers of coffee and other agricultural products, fair trade helps people in
other countries fight economic or cultural dislocation. More people staying in their communities
and using sustainable farming methods means less urbanization, less pollution, less urban poverty, and fewer immigrants seeking to flee their countries.

Opponents of fair trade certification counter that globalization helps make coffee production more efficient and profitable; the coffee growers who remain are more efficient and command better prices for their products. By contrast, government certification will distort the market and increase costs. Fair trade certification will benefit only inefficient coffee producers.

Besides, argue opponents, coffee shows why fair trade certification is unnecessary. The free market responds to consumer needs and desires better than government. Markets adjust quickly to the needs of society: if consumers demand more “fair trade” coffee, then the market will respond. By contrast, government regulations respond to political, not economic, forces.

Consumer needs and desires are best met by a free market, not government regulations.

In the end, opponents argue that certification is arbitrary. It imposes a particular moral viewpoint on everyone else in society. In a world of so many different cultures, people need to have more tolerance for different values, not less. The free market respects differences without dictating one “right” way.

Globalization will continue to bind peoples and countries closer together. How will individuals and nations use these new opportunities?
Globalization and Fair Trade—Selected Resources


Globalization and Fair Trade—
Deliberation Question with Arguments

Deliberation Question

In response to market globalization, should our democracy provide “fair trade” certification for coffee and other products?

YES—Arguments to Support the Deliberation Question

1. Providing fair trade certification encourages and rewards ethical behavior in the marketplace. It also promotes democratic principles at home and abroad.

2. Fair trade certification is a reasonable and responsible exercise of government power. All regulation is not arbitrary regulation, and democratic government can serve the people’s interests. Legislatures and regulatory agencies can respond to the needs and desires of both small producers and consumers. Government regulations exist to protect the rights of citizens as well as businesses and corporations.

3. Fair trade certification, like organic certification, serves both producers and consumers. Certification assures consumers that coffee and other agricultural products are sold at a fair price for producers. Adopting a common certification promotes uniformity between markets. It also educates consumers and producers while providing the power of choice.

4. Government regulation is not the enemy of market efficiency. The natural outcome of unregulated markets is monopoly, not efficiency. For minimal costs, government regulations foster greater citizen protections at home and better labor practices abroad. This kind of investment promotes democratic principles through real choices, not charity.

5. Fair trade certification is smart politics. By guaranteeing a minimum wage for small producers of coffee and other agricultural products, fair trade encourages people to stay on the land in their traditional jobs, instead of moving to cities to look for work. More people staying in their communities and using sustainable farming methods means less urbanization, less pollution, less urban poverty, and fewer immigrants seeking to flee their countries.
Globalization and Fair Trade—
Deliberation Question with Arguments

Deliberation Question

In response to market globalization, should our democracy provide “fair trade” certification for coffee and other products?

NO—Arguments to Oppose the Deliberation Question

1. Fair trade certification will not help address the challenges of globalization. Instead, it will only impose one group’s particular morality on everyone else. Certification is inherently arbitrary. Thus, it combines the worst elements of managed economies and misguided moralism.

2. The label “fair trade” is unnecessary. The free market will respond to consumer needs and desires better than the government. Consumer demand for fair trade coffee is a perfect example of how the market responds to what people want. Open markets do not need government meddling.

3. Fair trade certification represents an unwelcome and mischievous element of government regulation. Regulation leads to the suppression of new ideas, increases consumer costs, and promotes government interests at the expense of citizen needs. Powers yielded by the people to the government are rarely returned.

4. The label “fair trade” is costly and inefficient. Markets adjust quickly to the needs of society, use new technologies, and generate new products and services. Government regulations respond to political, not economic forces. Consumer needs and desires are best met by a free market, not clumsy government regulations.

5. Fair trade certification distorts the market. Globalization and free markets help winnow out inefficient coffee farmers who cannot effectively compete. Those farmers who remain are more efficient and can command a better price for their products. The only people who want fair trade certification are the bureaucrats whose jobs depend on it and those producers who cannot compete in the global market.
Lesson Procedures

Step One: Introduction

Introduce the lesson and the Student Objectives on the Lesson Plan. Distribute and discuss Handout 1—Deliberation Guide. Review the Rules of Deliberation and post them in a prominent position in the classroom. Emphasize that the class will deliberate and then debrief the experience.

Step Two: Reading

Distribute a copy of the Reading to each student. Have students read the article carefully and underline facts and ideas they think are important and/or interesting (ideally for homework).

Step Three: Grouping and Reading Discussion

Divide the class into groups of four or five students. Group members should share important facts and interesting ideas with each other to develop a common understanding of the article. They can record these facts and ideas on Handout 2—Deliberation Activities (Review the Reading).

Step Four: Introducing the Deliberation Question

Each Reading addresses a Deliberation Question. Read aloud and/or post the Deliberation Question and ask students to write the Deliberation Question in the space provided on Handout 2. Remind students of the Rules for Deliberation on Handout 1.

Step Five: Learning the Reasons

Divide each group into two teams, Team A and Team B. Explain that each team is responsible for selecting the most compelling reasons for its position, which you will assign. Both teams should reread the Reading. Team A will find the most compelling reasons to support the Deliberation Question. Team B will find the most compelling reasons to oppose the Deliberation Question. To ensure maximum participation, ask everyone on the team to prepare to present at least one reason.

Note: Team A and Team B do not communicate while learning the reasons. If students need help identifying the arguments or time is limited, use the Deliberation Question with Arguments handouts. Ask students to identify the most compelling arguments and add any additional ones they may remember from the reading.

Step Six: Presenting the Most Compelling Reasons

Tell students that each team will present the most compelling reasons to support or oppose the Deliberation Question. In preparation for the next step, Reversing Positions, have each team listen carefully for the most compelling reasons.
• Team A will explain their reasons for **supporting** the Deliberation Question. If Team B does not understand something, they should ask questions but NOT argue.
• Team B will explain their reasons for **opposing** the Deliberation Question. If Team A does not understand something, they should ask questions, but NOT argue.

**Note:** The teams may not believe in or agree with their reasons but should be as convincing as possible when presenting them to others.

**Step Seven: Reversing Positions**

Explain that, to demonstrate that each side understands the opposing arguments, each team will select the other team’s most compelling reasons.

• Team B will explain to Team A what Team A’s **most compelling** reasons were for **supporting** the Deliberation Question.
• Team A will explain to Team B what Team B’s **most compelling** reasons were for **opposing** the Deliberation Question.

**Step Eight: Deliberating the Question**

Explain that students will now drop their roles and deliberate the question as a group. Remind the class of the question. In deliberating, students can (1) use what they have learned about the issue and (2) offer their personal experiences as they formulate opinions regarding the issue.

After deliberating, have students find areas of agreement in their group. Then ask students, as individuals, to express to the group their personal position on the issue and write it down (see My Personal Position on **Handout 2**).

**Note:** Individual students do **NOT** have to agree with the group.

**Step Nine: Debriefing the Deliberation**

Reconvene the entire class. Distribute **Handout 3—Student Reflection on Deliberation** as a guide. Ask students to discuss the following questions:

• What were the most compelling reasons for each side?
• What were the areas of agreement?
• What questions do you still have? Where can you get more information?
• What are some reasons why deliberating this issue is important in a democracy?
• What might you or your class do to address this problem? Options include teaching others about what they have learned; writing to elected officials, NGOs, or businesses; and conducting additional research.

Consider having students prepare personal reflections on the Deliberation Question through written, visual, or audio essays. Personal opinions can be posted on the web.

**Step Ten: Student Poll/Student Reflection**

Ask students: “Do you agree, disagree, or are you still undecided about the Deliberation Question?” Record the responses and have a student post the results on **www.deliberating.org** under the partnerships and/or the polls. Have students complete **Handout 3**.
Handout 1—Deliberation Guide

What Is Deliberation?
Deliberation (meaningful discussion) is the focused exchange of ideas and the analysis of arguments with the aim of making a decision.

Why Are We Deliberating?
Citizens must be able and willing to express and exchange ideas among themselves, with community leaders, and with their representatives in government. Citizens and public officials in a democracy need skills and opportunities to engage in civil public discussion of controversial issues in order to make informed policy decisions. Deliberation requires keeping an open mind, as this skill enables citizens to reconsider a decision based on new information or changing circumstances.

What Are the Rules for Deliberation?
- Read the material carefully.
- Focus on the deliberation question.
- Listen carefully to what others are saying.
- Check for understanding.
- Analyze what others say.
- Speak and encourage others to speak.
- Refer to the reading to support your ideas.
- Use relevant background knowledge, including life experiences, in a logical way.
- Use your heart and mind to express ideas and opinions.
- Remain engaged and respectful when controversy arises.
- Focus on ideas, not personalities.
Handout 2—Deliberation Activities

Review the Reading

Determine the most important facts and/or interesting ideas and write them below.

1) __________________________________________
2) __________________________________________
3) __________________________________________

Deliberation Question

Learning the Reasons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reasons to Support the Deliberation Question (Team A)</th>
<th>Reasons to Oppose the Deliberation Question (Team B)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

My Personal Position

On a separate sheet of paper, write down reasons to support your opinion. You may suggest another course of action than the policy proposed in the question or add your own ideas to address the underlying problem.
Handout 3—Student Reflection on Deliberation

Large Group Discussion: What We Learned

What were the most compelling reasons for each side?

Side A:                                    Side B:

What were the areas of agreement?

What questions do you still have? Where can you get more information?

What are some reasons why deliberating this issue is important in a democracy?

What might you and/or your class do to address this problem?

Individual Reflection: What I Learned

Which number best describes your understanding of the focus issue? [circle one]

1 2 3 4 5

NO DEEPER MUCH DEEPER UNDERSTANDING UNDERSTANDING

What new insights did you gain?

What did you do well in the deliberation? What do you need to work on to improve your personal deliberation skills?

What did someone else in your group do or say that was particularly helpful? Is there anything the group should work on to improve the group deliberation?